



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,770	05/03/2001	Michael T. Loos	26625-704	6636

21971	7590	07/13/2007
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI		
650 PAGE MILL ROAD		
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050		

EXAMINER
RUTTEN, JAMES D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2192	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/13/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/848,770	LOOS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	J. Derek Rutten	2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. Derek Rutten.

(3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Adam Sheehan, Reg. No. 42,146.

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 34-37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Carini (USPN 6,636,873).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sheehan was interested in a clarification of the rejection of the claims in terms of the prior art of record, Carini. Mr. Rutten explained that the term "mobile data model" was broad and allowed Carini's replication database as well as "snapshot" tables to be interpreted as providing a mobile data model. Mr. Sheehan was interested in differentiating the claims from Carini, but Mr. Rutten was unable to give a definitive response regarding an amendment to the claims that would provide novel or nonobvious limitations without a new search of the prior art. Mr. Rutten noticed that claim 35 provided potential, but further suggested that clarification regarding the term "mobile data model" would be helpful, as would persuasive arguments explaining why the claims should be allowed.